FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 21 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GERARDO DANIEL SANDOVAL-VILLEGAS,

Petitioner,

٧.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-70661

Agency No. A77-324-808

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 17, 2006 **

Before: B. FLETCHER, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

The court has received and reviewed petitioner's response to the motion to dismiss. A review of the record demonstrates that petitioner did not provide evidence at his removal hearing or appeal before the Board of Immigration

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Appeals that he was statutorily eligible for cancellation of removal. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(D); *Molina-Estrada v. INS*, 293 F.3d 1099, 1093-94 (9th Cir. 2002) (holding that because petitioner provided no evidence that his mother was a lawful permanent resident, he was not eligible for cancellation).

Respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.